

DECISION-MAKING AND CAMPS

Karla A. Henderson, Ph.D.
North Carolina State University



Camp experiences enrich children's lives. Camp is a significant context for youth development. Many people who have gone to camp or served as camp

counselors know these statements are true. Yet, because many people agree, only recently have efforts documented the outcomes and benefits of camp. Further, change is often difficult to study and the intangible benefits of camp experiences are complex to analyze. Nevertheless, research is showing that camp embodies many aspects of positive youth development.

Youth development focuses on supporting or promoting positive developmental processes such as competence, mastery, positive identity, resilience, caring, connection, and belonging that are known or assumed to advance health and well-being (Benson & Saito, 2006). Camps are considered youth development programs when they intentionally incorporate experiences, opportunities, and supports to address and advance the positive development of children and youth.

One important outcome of camp is for children and adolescents to become better decision-makers. **Decision-making** is defined as taking personal responsibility for one's life and taking control of one's behavior. **Decision-making** involves thinking for oneself and taking action consistent with that thought (Catalano et al., 2002). **Decisionmaking** also is linked to goal-setting and problem-solving. Making good decisions and carrying them out is a life skill essential for development into adulthood.

Research Says

- Young people need choice and voice (Witt & Caldwell, 2005)
- Youth development researchers such as Gambone and her colleagues (2002) demonstrate that youth need to have opportunities to make age-appropriate **decisions** for themselves and others. They need to be able to decide what activities to participate in, choose responsible alternatives, and take part in implementing the choices.
- As an individual gets older, poor **decisions** have greater consequences than when one is younger. For example, in adolescence, poor **decisions** (e.g., school, sex) have

more negative consequences than in childhood (Dryfoos, 1990; Lerner, 1995) and the adolescent is more responsible for those **decisions** and their consequences than in childhood.

- The ability for youth to take an active role in **decisions** and circumstances that impact their lives is sometimes called autonomy or self-determination (Ryan & Deci, 2000). Preserving choice and initiative is critical.
- Adolescents can be characterized as poor decision makers. Yet, little research exists that examines how people learn to make **decisions**. **Decision-making** does not follow a single trajectory. It includes both an experiential and analytic cognitive system. Even as children develop the competencies to reason effectively and make normative **decisions**, they also develop shortcut and biased judgment strategies that are used inappropriately in some situations.
- The process of responsible **decision-making** generally involves an assessment of goals or purposes, an analysis of the alternatives, a consideration of the information about and consequences for each alternative (including how decisions may effect the self as well as others), a decision about a course of action, and the opportunity to carry out and take responsibility for the decision.
- **Decision-making** may be understood in terms of a cost-verses-benefit approach, where behavior is chosen that maximizes gains and minimizes losses (Gordon, 1996). It involves cognitive development but also cultural and societal factors.
- Too often youth believe that adults plan for them rather than with them. Therefore, youth do not always get opportunities to practice **decision-making** and problem-solving. Youth programs like camps can help empower youth to take ownership and responsibility for developing and sustaining programs (Witt & Caldwell, 2005).
- Hattie et al. (1997) did a meta-analysis and found that the strongest effect sizes were for self-control such as independence, self-efficacy, assertiveness, internal locus of control, and **decision-making**. Effect sizes increased 25 months later—compelling evidence that structured voluntary activities can have a powerful sustainable effect on development.

Camp Research and Decision-Making

Research is somewhat limited regarding the influence of camps on assisting youth to become responsible **decision-makers**. The little research that exists has shown mixed results in terms of the role of camps.

- The 2005 national study of the outcomes of camp experiences (American Camp Association) showed that children grow more independent and show more leadership qualities as a result of their involvement with camp.
- Chenery's (1991) qualitative study of camps across the United States found that cooperation, getting along, achievement, being allowed to **make choices**, being pushed to be the best one can be, independence and teamwork all related to self-esteem. Children reported how free they felt at camp.
- Dworken (2001) interviewed parents and found they thought that camp provided campers with many opportunities for **decision-making** as a small group as well as making personal choices. "Campers are encouraged to use their initiative instead of the staff taking control of every situation," commented one parent.
- Bialeschki and Scanlin (2005) described in the preliminary research done with Youth Development Strategies Inc with over 7600 campers that youth involvement, which focused on campers' perceptions around **decision-making**, leadership, and belonging showed only 5 percent of all campers in the optimal category and 39 percent in the insufficient group. Thus, camps do not seem to be targeting this area, or alternatively, measurement of this construct has not been adequate.

Bottom Line

Decision-making is a key developmental process needed for positive youth development. The youth development literature has not addressed this process fully. What constitutes the cognitive as well as experiential aspects of decision-making? Camp research has only limited information available about the roles that camp can play. This area of **decision-making** offers great potential for youth development and numerous opportunities for further youth development and camp research.

Resources

American Camp Association. (2005). *Directions: Youth development outcomes of the camp experience*. Retrieved on May 30, 2006 from www.ACAcamps.org/research.

Benson, P.L., & Saito, R.N. (2006). *The scientific foundations of youth development*. Minneapolis: Search Institute. Retrieved on May 29, 2006 from www.ppv.org/ppv/publication/assets/74_sup/ydv_4.pdf.

Bialeschki, M.D., & Scanlin, M. (2005). The camp experience: Being all that you can be. *Camping Magazine*, 78(5) retrieved on May 29, 2006 from www.ACAcamps.org/campmag/0509camp.php

Catalano, R.F., Berglund, M.L., Ryan, M.A.M., Lonczak, H.S., & Hawkins, J.D. (2002). *Prevention & Treatment*, 5. Retrieved on August 19, 2005 from www.journals.apa.org/prevention/volume5/pre0050015a.html

Chenery, M.F. (1991). *I am somebody: The messages and methods of organized camping for youth development*. Martinsville, IN: American Camping Association.

Dryfoos, J.G. (1990). *Adolescents at risk: Prevalence and prevention*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Gambone, M.A., & Arbreton, A.J.A. (1997). *Safe havens: The contributions of youth organizations to healthy adolescent development*. Philadelphia: Public/Private Ventures.

Gambone, M.A., Klem, A.M., & Connell, J.P. (2002). *Finding out what matters for youth: Testing key links in a community action framework for youth development*. Philadelphia: Youth Development Strategies, Inc. and Institute for Research and Reform in Education.

Gordon, C.P. (1996). Adolescent decision making: A broadly based theory and its application to prevention of early pregnancy. *Adolescence*, 31, 561-585.

Hattie, J., Marsh, H.W., Neill, J.T., & Richards, G.E. (1997). Adventure education and outward bound: Outofclass experiences that make a lasting difference. *Review of Educational Research*, 67, 43-87.

Lerner, R. (1995). *America's youth in crisis. Challenges and options for programs and policies*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Ryan, R.M., & Deci, E.L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55, 68-78.

Witt, P.A., & Caldwell, L.L. (2005). *Recreation and youth development*. State College, PA: Venture Publishing, Inc.